

HARMONIC MORPHISMS FROM THE CLASSICAL NON-COMPACT SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS (VERSION 2.043)

SIGMUNDUR GUDMUNDSSON AND ANNA SAKOVICH

ABSTRACT. We construct the first known complex valued harmonic morphisms from the non-compact Lie groups $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbf{SU}^*(2n)$ and $\mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ equipped with their standard Riemannian metrics. We then introduce the notion of a *bi-eigenfamily* and employ this to construct the first known solutions on the non-compact Riemannian $\mathbf{SO}^*(2n)$, $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$, $\mathbf{SU}(p, q)$ and $\mathbf{Sp}(p, q)$. Applying a *duality principle* we then show how to manufacture the first known complex valued harmonic morphisms from the compact Lie groups $\mathbf{SO}(n)$, $\mathbf{SU}(n)$ and $\mathbf{Sp}(n)$ equipped with semi-Riemannian metrics.

1. INTRODUCTION

In differential geometry the notion of a minimal submanifold of a given ambient space is of great importance. Harmonic morphisms $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between semi-Riemannian manifolds are useful tools for the construction of such objects. They are solutions to over-determined non-linear systems of partial differential equations determined by the geometric data of the manifolds involved. For this reason harmonic morphisms are difficult to find and have no general existence theory, not even locally.

For the existence of harmonic morphisms $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ it is an advantage that the target manifold N is a surface i.e. of dimension 2. In this case the problem is invariant under conformal changes of the metric on N^2 . Therefore, at least for local studies, the codomain can be taken to be the complex plane with its standard flat metric. For the general theory of harmonic morphisms between semi-Riemannian manifolds we refer to the excellent book [2] and the regularly updated on-line bibliography [6].

In [8] the current authors introduce the notion of an *eigenfamily* of complex valued functions from semi-Riemannian manifolds. This is used to manufacture a variety of locally defined harmonic morphisms from the classical compact Lie groups

$$\mathbf{SO}(n), \quad \mathbf{SU}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Sp}(n)$$

equipped with their standard Riemannian metrics. A general *duality principle* is developed and employed to construct solutions on the non-compact Lie groups

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58E20, 53C43, 53C12.

Key words and phrases. harmonic morphisms, minimal submanifolds, Lie groups.

$$\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbf{SU}^*(2n), \mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\mathbf{SO}^*(2n), \mathbf{SO}(p, q), \mathbf{SU}(p, q) \text{ and } \mathbf{Sp}(p, q)$$

equipped with their standard dual semi-Riemannian metrics.

The current paper is devoted to the study of the *dual problem*. We are now mainly interested in the classical non-compact semisimple Lie groups equipped with their standard Riemannian metrics. We construct the first known locally defined complex valued harmonic morphisms from

$$\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}), \mathbf{SU}^*(2n) \text{ and } \mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$$

which are not invariant under the action of the subgroups $\mathbf{SO}(n)$, $\mathbf{Sp}(n)$ or $\mathbf{U}(n)$, respectively, see [11]. We generalize the idea of an eigenfamily and introduce the notion of a *bi-eigenfamily* of complex valued functions on a semi-Riemannian manifold. This leads to the construction of the first known locally defined complex valued harmonic morphisms on the important non-compact Riemannian Lie groups

$$\mathbf{SO}^*(2n), \mathbf{SO}(p, q), \mathbf{SU}(p, q) \text{ and } \mathbf{Sp}(p, q).$$

Employing the earlier mentioned *duality principle* we then show how to produce the first known locally defined complex valued harmonic morphisms on the compact Lie groups

$$\mathbf{SO}(n), \mathbf{SU}(n) \text{ and } \mathbf{Sp}(n)$$

equipped with their standard dual semi-Riemannian metrics. It should be noted that the non-compact semisimple Lie groups

$$\mathbf{SO}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

are complex manifolds and hence their coordinate functions form orthogonal harmonic families, see [8]. This means that in these cases the problem is more or less trivial.

Throughout this article we assume, when not stating otherwise, that all our objects such as manifolds, maps etc. are smooth i.e. in the C^∞ -category. For our notation concerning Lie groups we refer to the wonderful book [13].

2. HARMONIC MORPHISMS

Let M and N be two manifolds of dimensions m and n , respectively. Then a semi-Riemannian metric g on M gives rise to the notion of a Laplacian on (M, g) and real-valued harmonic functions $f : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. This can be generalized to the concept of a harmonic map $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between semi-Riemannian manifolds being a solution to a semi-linear system of partial differential equations, see [2].

Definition 2.1. A map $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between semi-Riemannian manifolds is called a *harmonic morphism* if, for any harmonic function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on an open subset U of N with $\phi^{-1}(U)$ non-empty, the composition $f \circ \phi : \phi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a harmonic function.

The following characterization of harmonic morphisms between semi-Riemannian manifolds is due to Fuglede and generalizes the corresponding well-known result of [4, 12] in the Riemannian case. For the definition of horizontal conformality we refer to [2].

Theorem 2.2. [5] *A map $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between semi-Riemannian manifolds is a harmonic morphism if and only if it is a horizontally (weakly) conformal harmonic map.*

The next result generalizes the corresponding well-known theorem of Baird and Eells in the Riemannian case, see [1]. It gives the theory of harmonic morphisms a strong geometric flavour and shows that the case when $n = 2$ is particularly interesting. In that case the conditions characterizing harmonic morphisms are independent of conformal changes of the metric on the surface N^2 . For the definition of horizontal homothety we refer to [2].

Theorem 2.3. [7] *Let $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$ be a horizontally conformal submersion from a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) to a Riemannian manifold (N, h) . If*

- i. $n = 2$ then ϕ is harmonic if and only if ϕ has minimal fibres,
- ii. $n \geq 3$ then two of the following conditions imply the other:
 - (a) ϕ is a harmonic map,
 - (b) ϕ has minimal fibres,
 - (c) ϕ is horizontally homothetic.

In what follows we are mainly interested in complex valued functions $\phi, \psi : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from semi-Riemannian manifolds. In this situation the metric g induces the complex-valued Laplacian $\tau(\phi)$ and the gradient $\text{grad}(\phi)$ with values in the complexified tangent bundle $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$ of M . We extend the metric g to be complex bilinear on $T^{\mathbb{C}}M$ and define the symmetric bilinear operator κ by

$$\kappa(\phi, \psi) = g(\text{grad}(\phi), \text{grad}(\psi)).$$

Two maps $\phi, \psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are said to be *orthogonal* if

$$\kappa(\phi, \psi) = 0.$$

The harmonicity and horizontal conformality of $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are given by the following relations

$$\tau(\phi) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(\phi, \phi) = 0.$$

Definition 2.4. Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold. Then a set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\phi_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid i \in I\}$$

of complex valued functions is said to be an *eigenfamily* on M if there exist complex numbers $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\tau(\phi) = \lambda\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(\phi, \psi) = \mu\phi\psi$$

for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}$.

The next result shows that an eigenfamily on a semi-Riemannian manifold can be used to produce a variety of local harmonic morphisms.

Theorem 2.5. [8] *Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and*

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$$

be a finite eigenfamily of complex valued functions on M . If $P, Q : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are linearly independent homogeneous polynomials of the same positive degree then the quotient

$$\frac{P(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)}{Q(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)}$$

is a non-constant harmonic morphism on the open and dense subset

$$\{p \in M \mid Q(\phi_1(p), \dots, \phi_n(p)) \neq 0\}.$$

3. THE RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of left-invariant vector fields on G . Then a Euclidean scalar product g on the algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a left-invariant Riemannian metric on the group G and turns it into a Riemannian manifold. If Z is a left-invariant vector field on G and $\phi, \psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are two complex valued functions defined locally on G then the first and second order derivatives satisfy

$$Z(\phi)(p) = \frac{d}{ds}[\phi(p \cdot \exp(sZ))] \Big|_{s=0},$$

$$Z^2(\phi)(p) = \frac{d^2}{ds^2}[\phi(p \cdot \exp(sZ))] \Big|_{s=0}.$$

The tension field $\tau(\phi)$ and the κ -operator $\kappa(\phi, \psi)$ are then given by

$$\tau(\phi) = \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{B}} Z^2(\phi), \quad \kappa(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{B}} Z(\phi)Z(\psi)$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is any orthonormal basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the complex general linear group equipped with its standard Riemannian metric induced by the Euclidean scalar product on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$g(Z, W) = \Re \operatorname{trace} ZW^*.$$

For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ we shall by E_{ij} denote the element of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$(E_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$$

and by D_t the diagonal matrices

$$D_t = E_{tt}.$$

For $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ let X_{rs} and Y_{rs} be the matrices satisfying

$$X_{rs} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_{rs} + E_{sr}), \quad Y_{rs} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_{rs} - E_{sr}).$$

With the above notation we have the following easily verified matrix identities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r < s} X_{rs}^2 &= \frac{(n-1)}{2} I_n, & \sum_{r < s} Y_{rs}^2 &= -\frac{(n-1)}{2} I_n, & \sum_{t=1}^n D_t^2 &= I_n, \\ \sum_{r < s} X_{rs} E_{jl} X_{rs}^t &= \frac{1}{2} (E_{lj} + \delta_{lj} (I_n - 2E_{lj})), \\ \sum_{r < s} Y_{rs} E_{jl} Y_{rs}^t &= -\frac{1}{2} (E_{lj} - \delta_{lj} I_n), \\ \sum_{t=1}^n D_t E_{jl} D_t^t &= \delta_{jl} E_{lj}. \end{aligned}$$

4. THE RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$

In this section we construct eigenfamilies of complex valued functions on the general linear group $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. These families can be used to construct local harmonic morphisms on the non-compact semisimple special linear group

$$\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{x \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \det x = 1\}.$$

The Lie group $\mathbb{R}^*$ of non-zero real numbers acts on $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ by multiplication $(r, x) \mapsto rx$ and the orbit space of this action is $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ consists of all real $n \times n$ matrices and for this we have the canonical orthonormal basis

$$\{X_{rs}, Y_{rs} \mid 1 \leq r < s \leq n\} \cup \{D_t \mid t = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ let $x_{ij} : \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the real valued coordinate functions given by*

$$x_{ij} : x \mapsto e_i \cdot x \cdot e_j^t$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the canonical basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x_{ij}) &= x_{ij}, \\ \kappa(x_{ij}, x_{kl}) &= \delta_{jl} \sum_{t=1}^n x_{it} x_{kt}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows directly from the definition of the functions x_{ij} that if X is an element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ then the first and second order derivatives satisfy

$$X(x_{ij}) : x \mapsto e_i \cdot x \cdot X \cdot e_j^t \quad \text{and} \quad X^2(x_{ij}) : x \mapsto e_i \cdot x \cdot X^2 \cdot e_j^t.$$

Employing the above mentioned matrix identities we then yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(x_{ij}) &= \sum_{r < s} X_{rs}^2(x_{ij}) + \sum_{r < s} Y_{rs}^2(x_{ij}) + \sum_{t=1}^n D_t^2(x_{ij}) \\
&= e_i \cdot x \cdot \left(\sum_{r < s} X_{rs}^2 + \sum_{r < s} Y_{rs}^2 + \sum_{t=1}^n D_t^2 \right) \cdot e_j^t \\
&= x_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(x_{ij}, x_{kl}) &= \sum_{r < s} X_{rs}(x_{ij})X_{rs}(x_{kl}) + \sum_{r < s} Y_{rs}(x_{ij})Y_{rs}(x_{kl}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{t=1}^n D_t(x_{ij})D_t(x_{kl}) \\
&= e_i \cdot x \cdot \left(\sum_{r < s} X_{rs} \cdot E_{jl} \cdot X_{rs}^t \right) \cdot x^t \cdot e_k^t \\
&\quad + e_i \cdot x \cdot \left(\sum_{r < s} Y_{rs} \cdot E_{jl} \cdot Y_{rs}^t \right) \cdot x^t \cdot e_k^t \\
&\quad + e_i \cdot x \cdot \left(\sum_{t=1}^n D_t \cdot E_{jl} \cdot D_t^t \right) \cdot x^t \cdot e_k^t \\
&= \delta_{jl} \sum_{t=1}^n x_{it}x_{kt}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $P, Q : \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be homogeneous polynomials of the coordinate functions $x_{ij} : \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of degree one i.e. of the form

$$P = \text{trace}(Ax^t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \text{trace}(Bx^t) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n b_{kl}x_{kl}$$

for some $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Then it is easily seen that

$$\kappa(P, Q) = \text{trace}(x^t A B^t x) = \text{trace}(A B^t x x^t).$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let V be a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $M(V)$ be the set of matrices with rows all contained in V . Then the complex vector space*

$$\mathcal{E}_V = \{\phi_A : \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_A(x) = \text{trace}(Ax^t), A \in M(V)\}$$

is an eigenfamily on $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

It should be noted that the local harmonic morphisms on $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ obtained by Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 4.2 are invariant under the action of $\mathbb{R}^*$ and hence induce local harmonic morphisms on the special linear group $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 4.3. The 3-dimensional Lie group $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2} \mid ad - bc = 1 \right\}.$$

The vector $(1, i)$ generates a 1-dimensional maximal isotropic subspace V of $\mathbb{C}^2$ inducing the eigenfamily

$$\mathcal{E}_V = \{ \phi_A : \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid A \in M(V) \}$$

on $\mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. By choosing

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

and applying Theorem 2.5 we yield the well known globally defined harmonic morphism $\phi = \phi_A / \phi_B : \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^2$ with

$$\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \frac{a + ib}{c + id}.$$

Here $H^2 \cong \mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / \mathbf{SO}(2)$ is the hyperbolic upper half plane in $\mathbb{C}$.

5. THE RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{SU}^*(2n)$

In this section we construct eigenfamilies on the non-compact Lie group

$$\mathbf{U}^*(2n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \mid z, w \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

These families can be used to construct local harmonic morphisms on the semisimple $\mathbf{SU}^*(2n)$. The Lie group $\mathbb{R}^+$ of positive real numbers acts on $\mathbf{U}^*(2n)$ by multiplication $(r, q) \mapsto rq$ and the orbit space of this action is

$$\mathbf{SU}^*(2n) = \mathbf{U}^*(2n) \cap \mathbf{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}^*(2n)$ of $\mathbf{U}^*(2n)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{u}^*(2n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Z & W \\ -\bar{W} & \bar{Z} \end{pmatrix} \mid Z, W \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

and for this we have the standard orthonormal basis consisting of the following elements

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} X_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & X_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & Y_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} D_t & 0 \\ 0 & D_t \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} iX_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & -iX_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} iY_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & -iY_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} iD_t & 0 \\ 0 & -iD_t \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_{rs} \\ -X_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_{rs} \\ -Y_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_t \\ -D_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iX_{rs} \\ iX_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iY_{rs} \\ iY_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iD_t \\ iD_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ and $1 \leq t \leq n$.

Lemma 5.1. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ let $z_{ij}, w_{ij} : \mathbf{U}^*(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the complex valued coordinate functions given by

$$z_{ij} : q \mapsto e_i \cdot q \cdot e_j^t, \quad w_{ij} : q \mapsto e_i \cdot q \cdot e_{n+j}^t$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Then the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(z_{ij}) &= -z_{ij}, \quad \tau(w_{ij}) = -w_{ij}, \\ \kappa(z_{ij}, z_{kl}) &= 0, \quad \kappa(w_{ij}, w_{kl}) = 0, \\ \kappa(z_{ij}, w_{kl}) &= \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (z_{it} w_{kt} - w_{it} z_{kt}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The method of proof is exactly the same as for Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Let $P, Q : \mathbf{U}^*(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be homogeneous polynomials of the coordinate functions $z_{ij}, w_{ij} : \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of degree one i.e. of the form

$$P(q) = \text{trace}(Az^t + Bw^t), \quad Q(q) = \text{trace}(Cz^t + Dw^t)$$

for some $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Employing the results of Lemma 5.1 a simple calculation shows that

$$\kappa(P, Q) = \text{trace}(((AD^t - BC^t) - (AD^t - BC^t)^t)zw^t).$$

Comparing coefficients we see that $\kappa(P, P) = \kappa(P, Q) = \kappa(Q, Q) = 0$ if and only if the matrices

$$AB^t, \quad (AD^t - BC^t), \quad CD^t$$

are symmetric.

Theorem 5.2. Let M be a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ such that if $(A, B), (C, D) \in M$ then the matrices $AB^t, (AD^t - BC^t), CD^t$ are symmetric. Then the complex vector space

$$\mathcal{E}_M = \{\phi : \mathbf{U}^*(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi(q) = \text{trace}(Az^t + Bw^t), (A, B) \in M\}$$

is an eigenfamily on $\mathbf{U}^*(2n)$.

It is easy to construct non-empty subsets M of $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.2. Here we present two examples.

Example 5.3. If ξ is a complex number, then the complex n^2 -dimensional vector space

$$M_\xi = \{(A, \xi A) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\}$$

satisfies the conditions above.

Example 5.4. If p is an element of $\mathbb{C}^n$, then the $2n$ -dimensional vector space

$$M_p = \{(pa^t, pb^t) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

satisfies the conditions above.

The local harmonic morphisms on $U^*(2n)$ obtained by Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 5.2 are invariant under the action of $\mathbb{R}^+$ and hence induce local harmonic morphisms on the semisimple Lie group $SU^*(2n)$.

6. THE RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$

In this section we construct eigenfamilies on the non-compact semisimple Lie group

$$\mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{g \in \mathbf{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g \cdot J_n \cdot g^t = J_n\}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & -X^t \end{pmatrix} \mid X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, Y = Y^t \text{ and } Z = Z^t \right\}$$

and for this we have the standard orthonormal basis consisting of the following elements

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & Y_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} X_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & -X_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} D_t & 0 \\ 0 & -D_t \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_{rs} \\ X_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_{rs} \\ -X_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_t \\ D_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_t \\ -D_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ and $1 \leq t \leq n$.

Lemma 6.1. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ let $x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij}, w_{ij} : \mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the real valued coordinate functions given by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij} : g &\mapsto e_i \cdot g \cdot e_j^t, & y_{ij} : g &\mapsto e_i \cdot g \cdot e_{n+j}^t, \\ z_{ij} : g &\mapsto e_{n+i} \cdot g \cdot e_j^t, & w_{ij} : g &\mapsto e_{n+i} \cdot g \cdot e_{n+j}^t \end{aligned}$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x_{ij}) &= \frac{1}{2}x_{ij}, & \tau(y_{ij}) &= \frac{1}{2}y_{ij}, & \tau(z_{ij}) &= \frac{1}{2}z_{ij}, & \tau(w_{ij}) &= \frac{1}{2}w_{ij}, \\ \kappa(x_{ij}, x_{kl}) &= \frac{1}{2}[y_{il}y_{kj} + \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (x_{it}x_{kt} + y_{it}y_{kt})], \\ \kappa(x_{ij}, y_{kl}) &= -\frac{1}{2}x_{il}y_{kj}, \\ \kappa(x_{ij}, z_{kl}) &= \frac{1}{2}[y_{il}w_{kj} + \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (x_{it}z_{kt} + y_{it}w_{kt})], \\ \kappa(x_{ij}, w_{kl}) &= -\frac{1}{2}x_{il}w_{kj}, \\ \kappa(y_{ij}, y_{kl}) &= \frac{1}{2}[x_{il}x_{kj} + \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (x_{it}x_{kt} + y_{it}y_{kt})], \\ \kappa(y_{ij}, z_{kl}) &= -\frac{1}{2}y_{il}z_{kj}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(y_{ij}, w_{kl}) &= \frac{1}{2} [x_{il}z_{kj} + \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (x_{it}z_{kt} + y_{it}w_{kt})], \\
\kappa(z_{ij}, z_{kl}) &= \frac{1}{2} [w_{il}w_{kj} + \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (z_{it}z_{kt} + w_{it}w_{kt})], \\
\kappa(z_{ij}, w_{kl}) &= -\frac{1}{2} z_{il}w_{kj}, \\
\kappa(w_{ij}, w_{kl}) &= \frac{1}{2} [z_{il}z_{kj} + \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (z_{it}z_{kt} + w_{it}w_{kt})].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The method of proof is exactly the same as for Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Theorem 6.2. *Let $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a non-zero element, then the $2n$ -dimensional vector space*

$$\mathcal{E}(v) = \{\phi_{ab}(g) = \text{trace}(a^t v(x + iy)^t + b^t v(z + iw)^t) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C}^n\},$$

is an eigenfamily on $\mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 6.3. *For non-zero elements $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the vector space*

$$\mathcal{E}(a, b) = \{\phi_v(g) = \text{trace}(a^t v(x + iy)^t + b^t v(z + iw)^t) \mid v \in \mathbb{C}^n\},$$

is an eigenfamily on $\mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Employing the results of Lemma 6.1 it is easily seen that if P and Q both belong to one of the sets described above then $2\kappa(P, Q) + PQ = 0$. $\square$

7. BI-EIGENFAMILIES

The notion of an eigenfamily has in earlier sections turned out to be useful for the construction of harmonic morphisms from the Riemannian non-compact semisimple Lie groups $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathbf{SU}^*(2n)$ and $\mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$. In order to solve the problem in the cases of

$$\mathbf{SO}^*(2n), \quad \mathbf{SO}(p, q), \quad \mathbf{SU}(p, q) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Sp}(p, q)$$

we now generalize to the notion of a bi-eigenfamily.

Definition 7.1. Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{\phi_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid i \in I_1\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = \{\psi_i : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid i \in I_2\}$$

be two non-empty eigenfamilies on M i.e. there exist complex numbers $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \lambda_2, \mu_2$ such that

$$\tau(\phi_1) = \lambda_1 \phi_1, \quad \kappa(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \mu_1 \phi_1 \phi_2,$$

$$\tau(\psi_1) = \lambda_2 \psi_1, \quad \kappa(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \mu_2 \psi_1 \psi_2$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{E}_2$. The union

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$$

is said to be a *bi-eigenfamily* on M if there exists a complex number μ such that

$$\kappa(\phi, \psi) = \mu\phi\psi$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_2$.

Definition 7.2. Let m, n, d_1, d_2 be non-negative integers. Then a polynomial map $P : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *bi-homogeneous* of *bi-degree* $d = (d_1, d_2)$ if for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$

$$P(\lambda z, \mu w) = \lambda^{d_1} \mu^{d_2} P(z, w).$$

The following result generalizes that of Theorem 2.5 and shows that bi-eigenfamilies are useful ingredients for the construction of harmonic morphisms.

Theorem 7.3. Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$ be a finite bi-eigenfamily on M where

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}.$$

If $P, Q : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are linearly independent bi-homogeneous polynomials of the same bi-degree then the quotient

$$\frac{P(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)}{Q(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)}$$

is a non-constant harmonic morphism on the open and dense subset

$$\{p \in M \mid Q(\phi_1(p), \dots, \phi_m(p), \psi_1(p), \dots, \psi_n(p)) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. A proof of Theorem 7.3 can be found in Appendix A. $\square$

8. THE RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{SO}^*(2n)$

In this section we construct eigenfamilies and bi-eigenfamilies of complex valued functions on the non-compact semisimple Lie group

$$\mathbf{SO}^*(2n) = \{q \in \mathbf{SU}(n, n) \mid q \cdot I_{nn} \cdot J_n \cdot q^t = I_{nn} \cdot J_n\}$$

where

$$\mathbf{U}(n, n) = \{z \in \mathbf{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid z \cdot I_{n,n} \cdot z^* = I_{n,n}\}$$

and

$$I_{nn} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_n & 0 \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ of $\mathbf{SO}^*(2n)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{so}^*(2n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Z & W \\ -\bar{W} & \bar{Z} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n \times 2n} \mid Z + Z^* = 0 \text{ and } W + W^t = 0 \right\}$$

and for this we have the standard orthonormal basis consisting of the following matrices

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & Y_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} iX_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & -iX_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} iD_t & 0 \\ 0 & -iD_t \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_{rs} \\ -Y_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iY_{rs} \\ iY_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ and $1 \leq t \leq n$.

Lemma 8.1. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ let $z_{ij}, w_{ij} : \mathbf{SO}^*(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the complex valued coordinate functions given by

$$z_{ij} : q \mapsto e_i \cdot q \cdot e_j^t, \quad w_{ij} : q \mapsto e_i \cdot q \cdot e_{n+j}^t$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Then the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(z_{ij}) &= -\frac{1}{2}z_{ij}, \quad \tau(w_{ij}) = -\frac{1}{2}w_{ij}, \\ \kappa(z_{ij}, z_{kl}) &= -\frac{1}{2}z_{il}z_{kj}, \quad \kappa(w_{ij}, w_{kl}) = -\frac{1}{2}w_{il}w_{kj}, \\ \kappa(z_{ij}, w_{kl}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[z_{kj}w_{il} + \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (z_{it}w_{kt} - w_{it}z_{kt}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The method of proof is exactly the same as for Lemma 4.1. $\square$

Theorem 8.2. Let v be a non-zero element of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the vector spaces

$$\mathcal{E}_1(v) = \{ \phi_a : \mathbf{SO}^*(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(z + jw) = \text{trace}(v^t a z^t), \ a \in \mathbb{C}^n \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_2(v) = \{ \psi_c : \mathbf{SO}^*(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \psi_c(z + jw) = \text{trace}(v^t c w^t), \ c \in \mathbb{C}^n \}$$

are eigenfamilies on $\mathbf{SO}^*(2n)$ and their union

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}_1(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(v)$$

is a bi-eigenfamily.

Proof. If $\phi_a, \phi_b \in \mathcal{E}_1(v)$ and $\psi_c, \psi_d \in \mathcal{E}_2(v)$ then it is an immediate consequence of Lemma 8.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\phi_a) &= -\frac{1}{2}\phi_a, \quad \tau(\psi_c) = -\frac{1}{2}\psi_c, \\ \kappa(\phi_a, \phi_b) &= -\frac{1}{2}\phi_a\phi_b, \quad \kappa(\phi_b, \psi_c) = \frac{1}{2}\phi_b\psi_c, \quad \kappa(\psi_c, \psi_d) = -\frac{1}{2}\psi_c\psi_d. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the statement of Theorem 8.2. $\square$

Theorem 8.3. Let a be a non-zero element of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the vector spaces

$$\mathcal{E}_1(a) = \{ \phi_u : \mathbf{SO}^*(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_u(z + jw) = \text{trace}(u^t a z^t), \ u \in \mathbb{C}^n \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_2(a) = \{ \psi_v : \mathbf{SO}^*(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \psi_v(z + jw) = \text{trace}(v^t a w^t), \ v \in \mathbb{C}^n \}$$

are eigenfamilies on $\mathbf{SO}^*(2n)$.

9. NOTATION

In this section we introduce the necessary notation for dealing with the important non-compact cases $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$, $\mathbf{SU}(p, q)$ and $\mathbf{Sp}(p, q)$. Further we state a few matrix identities useful for those readers interested in the details of our calculations.

For a positive integer n let p, q be non-negative integers such that $n = p + q$. Further let the index sets $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= \{1, \dots, p\}, \quad \Delta_2 = \{p+1, \dots, n\}, \\ \Lambda_1 &= \{(r, s) \mid 1 \leq r < s \leq p \text{ or } p+1 \leq r < s \leq n\}, \\ \Lambda_2 &= \{(r, s) \mid 1 \leq r \leq p \text{ and } p+1 \leq s \leq n\}\end{aligned}$$

and the matrices I_{pq}, J_n by

$$I_{pq} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With the above notation we have the following easily verified matrix identities

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} X_{rs}^2 - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} X_{rs}^2 &= -\frac{1}{2}(I_n + (p-q)I_{pq}), \\ \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} Y_{rs}^2 - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} Y_{rs}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(I_n + (p-q)I_{pq}), \\ \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} X_{rs} E_{jl} X_{rs}^t - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} X_{rs} E_{jl} X_{rs}^t \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)+\delta_{jl}} E_{lj} + \delta_{jl}(-1)^{\chi(j)} I_{pq}), \\ \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} Y_{rs} E_{jl} Y_{rs}^t - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} Y_{rs} E_{jl} Y_{rs}^t \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)+1} E_{lj} + \delta_{jl}(-1)^{\chi(j)} I_{pq}). \\ \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} X_{rs} E_{jl} X_{rs}^t + \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} Y_{rs} E_{jl} Y_{rs}^t + \sum_{t=1}^n D_t E_{jl} D_t^t \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)+1} E_{lj} + \delta_{jl}(-1)^{\chi(j)} I_n).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is the characteristic function for the set Δ_1 given by

$$\chi(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \in \Delta_1, \\ 0, & i \notin \Delta_1. \end{cases}$$

In the sequel we shall use the notation

$$\mathbb{C}_1^p = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^q \mid w = 0\}, \quad \mathbb{C}_2^q = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^q \mid z = 0\}.$$

10. THE RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{SU}(p, q)$

In this section we construct eigenfamilies on the non-compact Lie group $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$. These can be used to construct a variety of local harmonic morphisms on the semisimple

$$\mathbf{SU}(p, q) = \{z \in \mathbf{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid z \cdot I_{p,q} \cdot z^* = I_{p,q}\}.$$

The Lie group $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ is the non-compact subgroup of $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\mathbf{U}(p, q) = \{z \in \mathbf{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid z \cdot I_{p,q} \cdot z^* = I_{p,q}\}.$$

The circle group $S^1 = \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |w| = 1\}$ acts on $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ by multiplication $(w, z) \mapsto wz$ and the orbit space of this action is the group $\mathbf{SU}(p, q)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(p, q)$ of $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{u}(p, q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Z & M \\ M^* & W \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z + Z^t = 0 \text{ and } W + W^t = 0 \right\}$$

with the canonical orthonormal basis

$$\{iX_{rs}, Y_{rs} \mid (r, s) \in \Lambda_1\} \cup \{X_{rs}, iY_{rs} \mid (r, s) \in \Lambda_2\} \cup \{iD_t \mid t = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Lemma 10.1. *For $1 \leq k, l \leq n$ let $z_{kl} : \mathbf{U}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the complex valued coordinate functions given by*

$$z_{kl} : z \mapsto e_k \cdot z \cdot e_l^t$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(z_{ij}) &= (-1)^{\chi(j)}(p - q)z_{ij}, \\ \kappa(z_{ij}, z_{kl}) &= -(-1)^{\chi(j) + \chi(l)}z_{il}z_{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows directly from the definition of the functions z_{ij} that if Z is an element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(p, q)$ then the first and second order derivatives satisfy

$$Z(z_{ij}) : z \mapsto e_i \cdot z \cdot Z \cdot e_j^t \quad \text{and} \quad Z^2(z_{ij}) : z \mapsto e_i \cdot z \cdot Z^2 \cdot e_j^t.$$

Employing the above mentioned matrix identities we then yield

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(z_{ij}) &= \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} Y_{rs}^2(z_{ij}) - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} Y_{rs}^2(z_{ij}) - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} X_{rs}^2(z_{ij}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} X_{rs}^2(z_{ij}) - \sum_{t=1}^n D_t^2(z_{ij}) \\ &= \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} e_i z Y_{rs}^2 e_j^t - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} e_i z Y_{rs}^2 e_j^t - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} e_i z X_{rs}^2 e_j^t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} e_i z X_{rs}^2 e_j^t - \sum_{t=1}^n e_i z D_t^2 e_j^t \\
& = (p-q) e_i z I_{pq} e_j^t \\
& = (-1)^{\chi(j)} (p-q) z_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(z_{ij}, z_{kl}) & = \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} e_i z Y_{rs} e_j^t e_l Y_{rs}^t z^t e_k^t - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} e_i z Y_{rs} e_j^t e_l Y_{rs}^t z^t e_k^t \\
& - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} e_i z X_{rs} e_j^t e_l X_{rs}^t z^t e_k^t + \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} e_i z X_{rs} e_j^t e_l X_{rs}^t z^t e_k^t \\
& - \sum_{t=1}^n e_i z D_t e_j^t e_l D_t^t z^t e_k^t \\
& = e_i z \left(\sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} Y_{rs} E_{jl} Y_{rs}^t - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} Y_{rs} E_{jl} Y_{rs}^t \right) z^t e_k^t \\
& - e_i z \left(\sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_1} X_{rs} E_{jl} X_{rs}^t - \sum_{(r,s) \in \Lambda_2} X_{rs} E_{jl} X_{rs}^t \right) z^t e_k^t \\
& - e_i z \sum_{t=1}^n D_t E_{jl} D_t^t z^t e_k^t \\
& = -(-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)} z_{il} z_{kj}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $P, Q : \mathbf{U}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be homogeneous polynomials of the coordinate functions $z_{ij} : \mathbf{U}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of degree one i.e of the form

$$P = \text{trace}(A \cdot z^t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} z_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \text{trace}(B \cdot z^t) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n b_{kl} z_{kl}$$

for some $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. As a direct consequence of Lemma 10.1 we then yield

$$PQ + \kappa(P, Q) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n (a_{ij} b_{kl} - (-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)} a_{kj} b_{il}) z_{ij} z_{kl}.$$

Theorem 10.2. *Let v be a non-zero element of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the vector spaces*

$$\mathcal{E}_1(v) = \{\phi_a : \mathbf{U}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(z) = \text{trace}(v^t a z^t), a \in \mathbb{C}_1^p\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_2(v) = \{\phi_a : \mathbf{U}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(z) = \text{trace}(v^t a z^t), a \in \mathbb{C}_2^q\}$$

are eigenfamilies on $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ and their union

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}_1(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(v)$$

is a bi-eigenfamily.

Proof. Assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{C}_1^p$ and define $A = v^t a$ and $B = v^t b$. By construction any two columns of the matrices A and B are linearly dependent. This means that for all $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{ij} & b_{il} \\ a_{kj} & b_{kl} \end{pmatrix} = (a_{ij}b_{kl} - a_{kj}b_{il}) = 0$$

so $P^2 + \kappa(P, P) = 0$, $PQ + \kappa(P, Q) = 0$ and $Q^2 + \kappa(Q, Q) = 0$. The fact that $\mathcal{E}_1(v)$ is an eigenfamily is now a direct consequence of Lemma 10.1. A similar argument shows that $\mathcal{E}_2(v)$ is also an eigenfamily. Note that $\kappa(P, Q) = PQ$ for any $P \in \mathcal{E}_1(v)$, $Q \in \mathcal{E}_2(v)$. This shows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a bi-eigenfamily on $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$. $\square$

Theorem 10.3. *Let u, v be two non-zero elements of $\mathbb{C}_1^p$ and $\mathbb{C}_2^q$, respectively. Then the sets*

$$\mathcal{E}_1(u) = \{\phi_a : \mathbf{U}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(z) = \text{trace}(a^t u z^t), a \in \mathbb{C}^n\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2(v) = \{\phi_a : \mathbf{U}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(z) = \text{trace}(a^t v z^t), a \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

are eigenfamilies on $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$.

Proof. The argument is similar to that used to prove the first part of Theorem 10.2. $\square$

It should be noted that the local harmonic morphisms on $\mathbf{U}(p, q)$ that we obtain by applying Theorem 7.3 are invariant under the circle action and hence induce local harmonic morphisms on the semisimple Lie group $\mathbf{SU}(p, q)$.

11. THE RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$

In this section we construct eigenfamilies on the non-compact semisimple Lie group

$$\mathbf{SO}(p, q) = \{x \in \mathbf{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \cdot I_{p,q} \cdot x^t = I_{p,q}\}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ of $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{so}(p, q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & M \\ M^t & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid A + A^t = 0 \text{ and } B + B^t = 0 \right\}.$$

For this we have the canonical orthonormal basis

$$\{Y_{rs} \mid (r, s) \in \Lambda_1\} \cup \{X_{rs} \mid (r, s) \in \Lambda_2\}.$$

Lemma 11.1. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ let $x_{ij} : \mathbf{SO}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the real valued coordinate functions given by*

$$x_{ij} : x \mapsto e_i \cdot x \cdot e_j^t$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following relations hold

$$\tau(x_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + (-1)^{\chi(j)}(p - q))x_{ij},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(x_{ij}, x_{kl}) &= \frac{1}{2}((-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)+1}x_{il}x_{kj} \\ &\quad - \delta_{jl}(-1)^{\chi(j)}[\sum_{t \in \Delta_1} x_{it}x_{kt} - \sum_{t \in \Delta_2} x_{it}x_{kt}]).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 10.1. $\square$

Let $P, Q : \mathbf{SO}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be homogeneous polynomials of the coordinate functions $x_{ij} : \mathbf{SO}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of degree one i.e. of the form

$$P = \text{trace}(A \cdot x^t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}x_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \text{trace}(B \cdot x^t) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n b_{kl}x_{kl}$$

for some $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. As a direct consequence of Lemma 11.1 we then yield

$$\begin{aligned}PQ + 2\kappa(P, Q) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n a_{ij}b_{kl}x_{ij}x_{kl} + 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n a_{ij}b_{kl}\kappa(x_{ij}, x_{kl}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n a_{ij}b_{kl}x_{ij}x_{kl} - (-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n a_{ij}b_{kl}x_{il}x_{kj} \\ &\quad - \delta_{jl}(-1)^{\chi(j)} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n a_{ij}b_{kj} \left[\sum_{t=1}^p x_{it}x_{kt} - \sum_{t=p+1}^{p+q} x_{it}x_{kt} \right] \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n (a_{ij}b_{kl} - (-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)}a_{kj}b_{il})x_{ij}x_{kl} \\ &\quad - \delta_{jl}(-1)^{\chi(j)} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n a_{ij}b_{kj} \left[\sum_{t=1}^p x_{it}x_{kt} - \sum_{t=p+1}^{p+q} x_{it}x_{kt} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Comparing coefficients in the case when the integer $\chi(j) + \chi(l)$ is even we see that $PQ + 2\kappa(P, Q) = 0$ if for all $1 \leq i, k \leq n$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{kj} = 0$$

and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{ij} & b_{il} \\ a_{kj} & b_{kl} \end{pmatrix} = (a_{ij}b_{kl} - a_{kj}b_{il}) = 0.$$

for all $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$.

Theorem 11.2. *Let u be a non-zero element of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and V_1, V_2 be maximal isotropic subspaces of $\mathbb{C}_1^p$ and $\mathbb{C}_2^q$, respectively. Then the vector spaces*

$$\mathcal{E}_{V_1}(u) = \{\phi_a : \mathbf{SO}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(x) = \text{trace}(u^t a x^t), a \in V_1\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_{V_2}(u) = \{\phi_a : \mathbf{SO}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(x) = \text{trace}(u^t a x^t), a \in V_2\}$$

are eigenfamilies on $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$ and their union

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}_{V_1}(u) \cup \mathcal{E}_{V_2}(u)$$

is a bi-eigenfamily.

Proof. Assume that $a, b \in V_1$ and define $A = u^t a$ and $B = u^t b$. By construction any two columns of the matrices A and B are linearly dependent. This means that for all $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{ij} & b_{il} \\ a_{kj} & b_{kl} \end{pmatrix} = (a_{ij}b_{kl} - a_{kj}b_{il}) = 0.$$

Furthermore we have

$$PQ + 2\kappa(P, Q) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{kj} = u_i u_k(a, b) = 0.$$

Hence $P^2 + 2\kappa(P, P) = 0$, $PQ + 2\kappa(P, Q) = 0$, $Q^2 + 2\kappa(Q, Q) = 0$. It follows directly from Lemma 11.1 that $\mathcal{E}_{V_1}(u)$ is an eigenfamily. A similar argument shows that even $\mathcal{E}_{V_2}(u)$ is an eigenfamily on $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$. It is easy to see that $2\kappa(P, Q) - PQ = 0$ for any $P \in \mathcal{E}_{V_1}(u)$, $Q \in \mathcal{E}_{V_2}(u)$. This shows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a bi-eigenfamily on $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$. $\square$

Theorem 11.3. *Let $u \in \mathbb{C}_1^p$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}_2^q$ be two non-zero isotropic elements of $\mathbb{C}^n$ i.e. $(u, u) = (v, v) = 0$. Then the sets*

$$\mathcal{E}_1(u) = \{\phi_a : \mathbf{SO}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(x) = \text{trace}(a^t u x^t), a \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_2(v) = \{\phi_a : \mathbf{SO}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_a(x) = \text{trace}(a^t v x^t), a \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$$

are eigenfamilies on $\mathbf{SO}(p, q)$.

Proof. The argument is similar to that used to prove the first part of Theorem 11.2. $\square$

12. THE RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{Sp}(p, q)$

In this section we construct eigenfamilies on the non-compact semisimple Lie group

$$\mathbf{Sp}(p, q) = \{g \in \mathbf{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{H}) \mid g \cdot I_{p,q} \cdot g^* = I_{p,q}\}.$$

Using the standard representation of the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$

$$(z + jw) \mapsto g = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix}$$

it is easily seen that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$ of $\mathbf{Sp}(p, q)$ is the set of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} & W_{11} & W_{12} \\ \bar{Z}_{12}^t & Z_{22} & -W_{12}^t & W_{22} \\ -\bar{W}_{11} & -\bar{W}_{12} & \bar{Z}_{11} & \bar{Z}_{12} \\ \bar{W}_{12}^t & -\bar{W}_{22} & Z_{12}^t & \bar{Z}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n \times 2n}$$

where $Z_{11} + \bar{Z}_{11}^t = 0$, $Z_{22} + \bar{Z}_{22}^t = 0$, $W_{11} = W_{11}^t$ and $W_{22} = W_{22}^t$. For the Lie algebra we have the standard orthonormal basis being the union of the following sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & Y_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} iX_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & -iX_{rs} \end{pmatrix} \mid (r, s) \in \Lambda_1 \right\}, \\ & \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} X_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & X_{rs} \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} iY_{rs} & 0 \\ 0 & -iY_{rs} \end{pmatrix} \mid (r, s) \in \Lambda_2 \right\}, \\ & \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_{rs} \\ -X_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iX_{rs} \\ iX_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid (r, s) \in \Lambda_1 \right\}, \\ & \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y_{rs} \\ -Y_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iY_{rs} \\ iY_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid (r, s) \in \Lambda_2 \right\}, \\ & \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} iD_t & 0 \\ 0 & -iD_t \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D_t \\ -D_t & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iD_t \\ iD_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid 1 \leq t \leq n \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 12.1. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n = p + q$ let $z_{ij}, w_{ij} : \mathbf{Sp}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the complex valued coordinate functions given by

$$z_{ij} : g \mapsto e_i \cdot g \cdot e_j^t, \quad w_{ij} : g \mapsto e_i \cdot g \cdot e_{n+j}^t$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n}\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$. Then the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(z_{ij}) &= -\frac{1}{2} [(-1)^{\chi(l)} 2(q-p) + 1] z_{ij}, \\ \tau(w_{ij}) &= -\frac{1}{2} [(-1)^{\chi(l)} 2(q-p) + 1] w_{ij}, \\ \kappa(z_{ij}, z_{kl}) &= -\frac{1}{2} (-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)} z_{il} z_{kj}, \\ \kappa(w_{ij}, w_{kl}) &= -\frac{1}{2} (-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)} w_{il} w_{kj}, \\ \kappa(z_{ij}, w_{kl}) &= -\frac{1}{2} [(-1)^{\chi(j)+\chi(l)} w_{il} z_{kj} - \delta_{jl} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n (z_{it} w_{kt} - w_{it} z_{kt})] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 10.1 but more involved. $\square$

Theorem 12.2. Let v be a non-zero element of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the vector spaces $\mathcal{E}_1(v) = \{\phi_{ab} : \mathbf{Sp}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_{ab}(z + jw) = \text{trace}(v^t a z^t + v^t b w^t), a, b \in \mathbb{C}_1^p\}$ and

$\mathcal{E}_2(v) = \{\phi_{ab} : \mathbf{Sp}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi_{ab}(z + jw) = \text{trace}(v^t a z^t + v^t b w^t), a, b \in \mathbb{C}_2^p\}$ are eigenfamilies on $\mathbf{Sp}(n)$ and their union

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}_1(v) \cup \mathcal{E}_2(v)$$

is a bi-eigenfamily.

Proof. Let a, b, c, d be arbitrary elements of $\mathbb{C}_1^p$ and define the complex valued functions $P, Q : \mathbf{Sp}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$P = \text{trace}(v^t a z^t + v^t b w^t) \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \text{trace}(v^t c z^t + v^t d w^t).$$

Then a simple calculation shows that

$$PQ + 2\kappa(P, Q) = [(a, d) - (b, c)] \sum_{i,k,t=1}^n v_i v_k (z_{it} w_{kt} - w_{it} z_{kt}) = 0.$$

Automatically we also get $P^2 + 2\kappa(P, P) = 0$ and $Q^2 + 2\kappa(Q, Q) = 0$. It now follows directly from Lemma 11.1 that $\mathcal{E}_1(v)$ is an eigenfamily. A similar argument shows that $\mathcal{E}_2(v)$ is an eigenfamily on $\mathbf{Sp}(p, q)$. It is easy to see that $2\kappa(P, Q) - PQ = 0$ for any $P \in \mathcal{E}_1(v)$, $Q \in \mathcal{E}_2(v)$. This shows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a bi-eigenfamily on $\mathbf{Sp}(p, q)$. $\square$

13. THE DUALITY

In this section we show how a real analytic bi-eigenfamily $\mathcal{B}$ on a Riemannian non-compact semisimple Lie group G gives rise to a real-analytic bi-eigenfamily $\mathcal{B}^*$ on its semi-Riemannian compact dual U and vice versa. The method of proof is borrowed from a related duality principle for harmonic morphisms from Riemannian symmetric spaces, see [9].

Let W be an open subset of a non-compact semisimple Lie group G equipped with its standard Riemannian metric and $\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a real analytic map. Let $G^\mathbb{C}$ denote the complexification of the Lie group G . Then ϕ extends uniquely to a holomorphic map $\phi^\mathbb{C} : W^\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from some open subset $W^\mathbb{C}$ of $G^\mathbb{C}$. By restricting this map to $U \cap W^\mathbb{C}$ we obtain a real analytic map $\phi^* : W^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ from some open subset W^* of U .

Theorem 13.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a family of maps $\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{E}^*$ be the dual family consisting of the maps $\phi^* : W^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ constructed as above. Then $\mathcal{E}^*$ is an eigenfamily if and only if $\mathcal{E}$ is an eigenfamily.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be a Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra of G where $\mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of a maximal compact subgroup K . Furthermore let the left-invariant vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathfrak{p}$ form a global orthonormal frame for the distribution generated by $\mathfrak{p}$ and similarly $Y_1, \dots, Y_m \in \mathfrak{k}$ form a global orthonormal frame for the distribution generated by $\mathfrak{k}$. We shall now assume that ϕ and ψ are elements of the eigenfamily $\mathcal{E}$ on the Riemannian W i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\phi) &= \sum_{k=1}^m Y_k^2(\phi) + \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2(\phi) = \lambda \cdot \phi, \\ \kappa(\phi, \psi) &= \sum_{k=1}^m Y_k(\phi) Y_k(\psi) + \sum_{k=1}^n X_k(\phi) X_k(\psi) = \mu \cdot \phi \cdot \psi. \end{aligned}$$

By construction and by the unique continuation property of real analytic functions the extension $\phi^\mathbb{C}$ of ϕ satisfies the same equations.

The Lie algebra of U has the decomposition $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{k} + i\mathfrak{p}$ and the left-invariant vector fields $iX_1, \dots, iX_n \in i\mathfrak{p}$ form a global orthonormal frame for the distribution generated by $i\mathfrak{p}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\phi^*) &= -\sum_{k=1}^m Y_k^2(\phi^*) + \sum_{k=1}^n (iX_k)^2(\phi^*) = -\lambda \cdot \phi^* \\ \kappa(\phi^*, \phi^*) &= -\sum_{k=1}^m Y_k(\phi^*)Y_k(\psi^*) + \sum_{k=1}^n (iX_k)(\phi^*)(iX_k)(\psi^*) = -\mu \cdot \phi^* \cdot \psi^*.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{E}^*$ is an eigenfamily. The converse is similar. $\square$

Theorem 13.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a family of maps $\phi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{B}^*$ be the dual family consisting of the maps $\phi^* : W^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ constructed as above. Then $\mathcal{B}^*$ is a bi-eigenfamily if and only if $\mathcal{B}$ is a bi-eigenfamily.*

Proof. The argument is similar to that used for Theorem 13.1 $\square$

14. THE SEMI-RIEMANNIAN LIE GROUP $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$

Let h be the standard left-invariant semi-Riemannian metric on the general linear group $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ induced by the semi-Euclidean scalar product on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$h(Z, W) = \Re \text{trace } ZW.$$

Then we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{W}_+ \oplus \mathcal{W}_-$$

of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ where

$$\mathcal{W}_+ = \{Z \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z - Z^* = 0\}$$

is the subspace of Hermitian matrices and

$$\mathcal{W}_- = \{Z \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z + Z^* = 0\}$$

is the subspace of skew-Hermitian matrices. The scalar product is positive definite on $\mathcal{W}_+$ and negative definite on $\mathcal{W}_-$. This means that for two complex valued functions $\phi, \psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ locally defined on $\mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the differential operators τ and the κ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(\phi) &= \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{B}_+} Z^2(\phi) - \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{B}_-} Z^2(\phi), \\ \kappa(\phi, \psi) &= \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{B}_+} Z(\phi)Z(\psi) - \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{B}_-} Z(\phi)Z(\psi)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_+$ and $\mathcal{B}_-$ are orthonormal bases for $\mathcal{W}_+$ and $\mathcal{W}_-$, respectively.

With the duality principle of Theorem 13.2 we can now easily construct harmonic morphisms from the compact Riemannian Lie groups

$$\mathbf{SO}(n), \quad \mathbf{SU}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Sp}(n)$$

via the following classical dualities $G \cong U$:

$$\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{x \in \mathbf{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \det x = 1\} \cong \mathbf{SU}(n),$$

$$\mathbf{SU}^*(2n) = \{g = \begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \mid g \in \mathbf{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})\} \cong \mathbf{SU}(2n),$$

$$\mathbf{SO}^*(2n) = \{z \in \mathbf{SU}(n, n) \mid z \cdot I_{nn} \cdot J_n \cdot z^t = I_{nn} \cdot J_n\} \cong \mathbf{SO}(2n),$$

$$\mathbf{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{g \in \mathbf{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g \cdot J_n \cdot g^t = J_n\} \cong \mathbf{Sp}(n).$$

$$\mathbf{SO}(p, q) = \{x \in \mathbf{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid x \cdot I_{pq} \cdot x^t = I_{pq}\} \cong \mathbf{SO}(p+q),$$

$$\mathbf{SU}(p, q) = \{z \in \mathbf{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid z \cdot I_{pq} \cdot z^* = I_{pq}\} \cong \mathbf{SU}(p+q),$$

$$\mathbf{Sp}(p, q) = \{g \in \mathbf{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{H}) \mid g \cdot I_{pq} \cdot g^* = I_{pq}\} \cong \mathbf{Sp}(p+q).$$

15. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Martin Svensson for useful comment on this paper.

APPENDIX A.

In this section we prove the result stated in Theorem 7.3. It shows how the elements of a bi-eigenfamily $\mathcal{B}$ of complex valued functions on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) can be used to produce a variety of harmonic morphisms defined on open and dense subsets of M . The first result shows how the operators τ and κ behave with respect to products.

Lemma A.1. *Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ be two families of complex valued functions on M . If there exist complex numbers $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \lambda_2, \mu_2, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{E}_2$*

$$\tau(\phi_1) = \lambda_1 \phi_1, \quad \kappa(\phi_1, \phi_2) = \mu_1 \phi_1 \phi_2,$$

$$\tau(\psi_1) = \lambda_2 \psi_1, \quad \kappa(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \mu_2 \psi_1 \psi_2,$$

$$\kappa(\phi_1, \psi_1) = \mu \phi_1 \psi_1$$

then the following relations hold

$$\tau(\phi_1 \psi_1) = (\lambda_1 + 2\mu + \lambda_2) \phi_1 \psi_1,$$

$$\kappa(\phi_1 \psi_1, \phi_2 \psi_2) = (\mu_1 + 2\mu + \mu_2) \phi_1 \psi_1 \phi_2 \psi_2$$

for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{E}_1$ and $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{E}_2$.

Proof. The statement is an immediate consequence of the following basic facts concerning first and second order derivatives of products

$$\begin{aligned} X(\phi_1\psi_1) &= X(\phi_1)\psi_1 + \phi_1X(\psi_1), \\ X^2(\phi_1\psi_1) &= X^2(\phi_1)\psi_1 + 2X(\phi_1)X(\psi_1) + \phi_1X^2(\psi_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

The following result shows how the operators τ and κ behave with respect to quotients.

Lemma A.2. *Let (M, g) be a semi-Riemannian manifold and $P, Q : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two complex valued functions on M . If there exists a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\tau(P) = \lambda P \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(Q) = \lambda Q$$

then the quotient $\phi = P/Q$ is a harmonic morphism if and only if

$$Q^2\kappa(P, P) = PQ\kappa(P, Q) = P^2\kappa(Q, Q).$$

Proof. For the first and second order derivatives of the quotient P/Q we have the following basic facts

$$\begin{aligned} X(\phi) &= \frac{X(P)Q - PX(Q)}{Q^2}, \\ X^2(\phi) &= \frac{Q^2X^2(P) - 2QX(P)X(Q) + 2PX(Q)X(Q) - PQX^2(Q)}{Q^3} \end{aligned}$$

leading to the following formulae for $\tau(\phi)$ and $\kappa(\phi, \phi)$

$$\begin{aligned} Q^3\tau(\phi) &= Q^2\tau(P) - 2Q\kappa(P, Q) + 2P\kappa(Q, Q) - PQ\tau(Q), \\ Q^4\kappa(\phi, \phi) &= Q^2\kappa(P, P) - 2PQ\kappa(P, Q) + P^2\kappa(Q, Q). \end{aligned}$$

The statement is a direct consequence of those relations. □

Proof of Theorem 7.3. For the finite eigenfamilies $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ on M we define the infinite sequences

$$\{\mathcal{E}_1^k\}_{k=1}^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \{\mathcal{E}_2^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$$

by induction

$$\mathcal{E}_1^1 = \mathcal{E}_1, \quad \mathcal{E}_1^{k+1} = \mathcal{E}_1^k \cdot \mathcal{E}_1 = \{\phi \cdot \phi^1 \mid \phi \in \mathcal{E}_1^k, \phi^1 \in \mathcal{E}_1\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2^1 = \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \mathcal{E}_2^{k+1} = \mathcal{E}_2^k \cdot \mathcal{E}_2 = \{\psi \cdot \psi^1 \mid \psi \in \mathcal{E}_2^k, \psi^1 \in \mathcal{E}_2\}.$$

It then follows from the fact that

$$\kappa(\phi, \phi^1) = k\mu_1\phi\phi^1$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_1^k$ and $\phi^1 \in \mathcal{E}_1^1$ and Lemma A.1 that each $\mathcal{E}_1^{k+1}$ is an eigenfamily on M . The same is of course true for each $\mathcal{E}_2^{k+1}$. A simple calculation shows that for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{E}_1^k$, $\Psi \in \mathcal{E}_2^l$

$$\kappa(\Phi, \Psi) = \mu \cdot k \cdot l \cdot \Phi \cdot \Psi.$$

With this at hand the statement of Theorem 7.3 is an immediate consequence of Lemma A.2. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baird and J. Eells, *A conservation law for harmonic maps*, Geometry Symposium Utrecht 1980, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **894**, 1-25, Springer (1981).
- [2] P. Baird and J. C. Wood, *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. No. **29**, Oxford Univ. Press (2003).
- [3] P. Baird and J. C. Wood, *Harmonic morphisms, Seifert fibre spaces and conformal foliations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **64** (1992), 170-197.
- [4] B. Fuglede, *Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **28** (1978), 107-144.
- [5] B. Fuglede, *Harmonic morphisms between semi-riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae **21** (1996), 31-50.
- [6] S. Gudmundsson, *The Bibliography of Harmonic Morphisms*, <http://www.matematik.lu.se/matematiklu/personal/sigma/harmonic/bibliography.html>
- [7] S. Gudmundsson, *On the existence of harmonic morphisms from symmetric spaces of rank one*, Manuscripta Math. **93** (1997), 421-433.
- [8] S. Gudmundsson and A. Sakovich, *Harmonic morphisms from the classical compact semisimple Lie groups*, preprint (Lund University) 2006.
- [9] S. Gudmundsson and M. Svensson, *Harmonic morphisms from the Grassmannians and their non-compact duals*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **30** (2006), 313-333.
- [10] S. Gudmundsson and M. Svensson, *Harmonic morphisms from the compact semisimple Lie groups and their non-compact duals*, Differ. Geom. Appl. **24** (2006), 351-366.
- [11] S. Gudmundsson and M. Svensson, *On the existence of harmonic morphisms from certain symmetric spaces*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), 353-366.
- [12] T. Ishihara, *A mapping of Riemannian manifolds which preserves harmonic functions*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **19** (1979), 215-229.
- [13] A. W. Knap, *Lie Groups Beyond an Introduction*, Birkhäuser (2002).

MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, LUND UNIVERSITY, BOX 118, S-221 00 LUND, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `Sigmundur.Gudmundsson@math.lu.se`

FACULTY OF PRE-UNIVERSITY EDUCATION, BELARUSIAN STATE UNIVERSITY, OKTYABRSKAYA STR. 4, MINSK 220030, BELARUS
E-mail address: `anya_sakovich@tut.by`